

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,946	07/21/2003	Jeffery R. Raymond	480117.407C1	7685	
500 75	90 04/13/2005		EXAM	INER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			BADIO, BARBARA P		
701 FIFTH AVI SUITE 6300	E	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1617	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/12/200	DATE MAIL ED: 04/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,946	RAYMOND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-63</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-63</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/624,946

Art Unit: 1617

#### First Office Action on the Merits

#### Election/Restrictions

1. The election/restriction requirement has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-10 and 17-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The instant claims are indefinite for the following reasons:

- (a) claim 1(and claims depending therefrom) recites the group "-SO<sub>3</sub>-R" but does not define the variable "R";
- (b) claims 5-7 and 53-63 recite "any of claim 1", did applicant intend "claim 1" or "claims 1-?" and
- (c) claims 35 and 36 lack a period at the end of the instant claims.

Based on the above-mentioned errors, the skilled artisan would be unable to determine the metes and bound of the claimed invention. Correction is requested.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/624,946

**Art Unit: 1617** 

### **Double Patenting**

Page 3

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 5. Claims 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 10-13 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,635,629. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-10, 17, 18, 20-46 and 53-55 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31

Application/Control Number: 10/624,946

Art Unit: 1617

of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,629. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both encompass 3-nitrogen-6,7-dioxygen steroids as defined by the instant claims wherein C17 is substituted as defined by claim 1 of US Patent No. 6,635,629. The claims of the present application differ from the above-mentioned patent in the recitation of additional C17 substituents. However, selection of the C17 substituents recited by the above-mentioned patent would be obvious to one skilled in the art based on the compounds of claims 47-50 of the present application.

Page 4

- 8. Claim 52 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 68 of copending Application No. 10/258,950. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 9. Claims 1-10, 17-51 and 53-63 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 64-121, 125 and 126 of copending Application No. 10/258,950. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both encompass 3-nitrogen-6,7-dioxygen steroids as defined by the instant claims wherein R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are as defined by claims of copending Application No. 10/258,950. The claims of the present application differ from the above-mentioned application in that they recite additional R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> groups. However, the selection of the

Art Unit: 1617

R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> groups recited by above-mentioned application would be obvious to one skilled in the art based on the compounds of claim 52 of the present application.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Telephone Inquiry

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617

BB

April 11, 2005